

## Problem Set 1

### Problem 1

Suppose we have  $n$  independent and identically-distributed samples  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  from a Gaussian distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and known variance  $\sigma^2$ . The log-likelihood function for this data is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \sigma^2, \mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i; \sigma^2, \mu) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Compute the gradient of this function  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}; \sigma^2, \mu)$  with respect to the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

## Problem 2

Suppose that we have data points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  sampled independent and identically-distributed from a Gaussian distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and unknown variance  $\sigma^2$ . Symbolically, for each  $i$ ,  $x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Calculate the maximum-likelihood estimates  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  of the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  by solving the equation  $\nabla \ell(\mu, \sigma^2) = \mathbf{0}$ . Justify your calculations.

### Problem 3

Derive the maximum-likelihood estimates  $\hat{w}_0$  and  $\hat{w}_1$  for the 1-dimensional linear-Gaussian model

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(w_1 x_i + w_0, \sigma^2)$$

by setting the gradient to zero, and solving for the parameters. You may find it useful to recall the relationship between the log-likelihood and the mean-squared error loss.

## Problem 4

Recall that, if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function, then  $x^*$  is a *critical point* of  $f$  if  $\frac{df}{dx}(x^*) = f'(x^*) = 0$ .

**Definition 0.1:** A function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *monotonic increasing* on an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$  such that  $x_1 < x_2$ , we have  $g(x_1) \leq g(x_2)$ . Function  $g$  is *strictly monotonic increasing* if  $g(x_1) < g(x_2)$  for all such  $x_1, x_2$ .

An important mathematical property we'll use in class is the following theorem:

**Theorem 0.1:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function such that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $x^*$  is a critical point of  $f$  **if and only if**  $x^*$  is also a critical point of the function  $h(x) = \log f(x)$ .

(You may assume that the log is base  $e$ , which is sometimes also written  $\ln f(x)$ .)

Informally, this theorem says that if we want to find a critical point of  $f$ , it's ok to take the logarithm of  $f$  first and then find the critical points of  $\log f$  instead. In many machine learning contexts it's much easier to work with  $\log f$ .

### Part A

Prove that the function  $k(x) = \log x$  is strictly monotonic increasing on the interval  $(0, \infty)$ . It's sufficient to evaluate the derivative of  $k$  and apply the mean value theorem.

### Part B

Use Part A to prove Theorem 0.1. It's sufficient to calculate  $\frac{dh}{dx}$  in terms of  $\frac{df}{dx}$  (use the chain rule!). Can it be true that one of  $\frac{df}{dx}(x^*)$  or  $\frac{dh}{dx}(x^*)$  is zero while the other is non-zero?